## **Multi-Use Center Committee**

## Aggregated Data from Public: Oral, Written, Survey (quantitative and qualitative) **February 29, 2024**

Rank Order Number*	Concerns/Needs	Total	Survey Question **
1	Need meeting rooms in Village	159	<b>√</b>
2	Current design proposal will be not beneficial to the Village	155	V
3	Cutting edge technology in meeting and auditorium area	116	<b>√</b>
4	Need classrooms for teaching/learning	104	V
5	Maintain architectural integrity of Village/state	97	V
6	Consider outdoor plaza/space	97	V
7	Would attend performances and events	93	V
8	Favor of art display space	83	V
9	Need to maintain cultural, agricultural, and farming integrity; fear of destroying rural nature	76	V
10	Too much traffic and not enough parking	75	
11	Favor of multi-use facility/community center	68	
12	Current design proposal will be beneficial to the Village	55	V
13	Need flexibility in design	54	<b>✓</b>
14	Include history/heritage/culture/honor descendant families	52	
15	Favor of small auditorium	51	
16	Determine expense of building and operating/dev biz	45	
17	Process too fast, slow down	34	
18	Include needs of youth in design	30	
19	Local facility not regional	26	
20	Inclusivity - come together as a community	23	
21	Facility too large	20	
22	Concerned about noise, light, and environmental impact	19	
23	Need licensed architect from outset	19	
24	Determine sources of funding	18	
25	Maintain and protect existing equestrian infrastructure	15	
26	No facility	14	
27	Favor of large auditorium	11	
28	Consider an alternative site	11	
29	Include canning capability	11	
30	Accommodate dances and social events	11	
31	Anchor tenant status determination	8	
32	Determine management of facility	7	
33	Include needs of growers/farmers	5	
34	Not a top priority too much money	5	
35	Modern architectural design or style	5	
36	Maintain and protect Old Church	4	
37	Concerned about increased crime	4	
38	LEED-rated/sustainable	4	
39	Concerned about increased real estate prices	3	
	Duplicate responses are included		
	Grand Total	1687	

Data from Comprehensive Plan Survey – This data is separate and distinct from the data gathering process used by the Multi-Use Center Committee

"Build a multi-use center for education, community, and the arts on the Jones property west of the post office and north of recreation center."

Strongly support and somewhat support	53.29%
Neutral	21.28%
Strongly oppose and somewhat oppose	25.43%
(Total respondents 1,880 or 22% of Villagers)	

<sup>\*</sup> Rank Ordering of 39 categories from aggregated data
\*\* These items were included in the Multi-Use Center Survey which account for their larger numbers compared to other items