JoAnne Roake  
Mayor

APPROVED MINUTES  
Agenda Amended at 5:27pm on September 4th, 2020

Village of Corrales  
Governing Body Regular Meeting  
September 8th, 2020 6:30pm

This will be a Teleconference Meeting which the public is allowed to join.  
You are encouraged to join before 6:35 p.m.  
Please call: 1-669-900-6833 Meeting ID: 815 7416 9208# Password: 697376#  
For any questions regarding the teleconference meeting call Aaron at 505-350-1583

A. CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

B. GOVERNING BODY PRESENT

  Present: Mayor Jo Anne D. Roake (Not voting), Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor David Dornburg, Councilor Zachary Burkett.

C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

  Motion: to approve the agenda, Action: Approve, Moved by Councilor Stuart Murray, Seconded by Councilor Mel Knight.  
  Vote: Motion carried by unanimous vote (summary: Yes = 6).  
  Yes: Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

D. REPORTS:
1. Administrator Report

**Administrator Curry:** It is a brief report tonight. The first thing I want to report is the goings on with the Construction Industries Division which is part of regulation and licensing. They are working with the Village and taking over some of the responsibilities of permitting. They are here to help us with the permits that we have found that have been operating without a permit. We were asking that question of our former CBO and we never got an answer to it, but we did get his letter of resignation. CID starting coming out about a week ago to work with some of the builders and home owners, and even in some cases red tagging them. We will be getting another update from them tomorrow afternoon. We are also in the process of getting a new CBO. I would anticipate that the state will be helping us for another two to three weeks. We are working collaboratively to make sure that builders are conforming to Village regulations as well as state law.

Secondly, regarding the LGIP funds. Reyna has begun working with the Josh Trujillo’s firm and the detailed reconciliations are going on as we speak. We expect it to go on through the end of the year. Our goal is to make sure that all the money is accounted for and that as we move into the new Tyler system, that the transition goes seamlessly. It is important that we get good, accurate information for the new accounting system.

The other thing I wanted to report is our continuing conversations with the conservancy district about the work going on at Siphon. Their schedule is switching a little bit. Also, Chief Martinez and I will be meeting with SSCAFCA about some of the work that is going to be done on the Harvey Jones Channel and the outflows that will be coming from Rio Rancho into the river there. I can stand for any questions.

**Councilor Murray:** I just wanted to follow up on some of the items we had discussed at the previous meeting. I think there was the Upper West Meadowlark issue Councilor Dornburg wanted to know about and the three other items that were on the list. I would just like a status update because I think they were supposed to be agenda items. I do not have a problem stringing them out as long as I know what is going on.

**Administrator Curry:** If it is anything related to phase two of Meadowlark, we are still on hold. It looks like the litigation we are involved with is going to extend into next year. That should not prevent us from having some meetings with the public to look at phase two. As we look at phase two, it would be my recommendation to take it as a clean slate get input as we move forward.

**Mayor Roake:** I believe we discussed that Michael Chavez was going to get a quote for the curbs and drainage.

**Administrator Curry:** I believe he is in the process of getting that quote.

**Councilor Murray:** Has there been any progress with DOT on the pedestrian crossings?

**Mayor Roake:** I actually did talk with Jill Mosher and what she wants from us is latest update for where we want crossings so that we can discuss it at our September 17th meeting with DOT. Part of the issue that Jill was discussing is that we had identified where we wanted crossings, but then those places started to change. There is also an issue with where DOT thinks crossings should go versus where we need them.

2. Department Reports
E. COUNCILORS FORUM: This section is for information purposes only, not for discussion and debate, to inform the Governing Body of an issue/concern that would not be addressed on the agenda during the business session.

Councilor Murray: I would like, if possible to add lines numbers and page numbers to our minutes.
Clerk Gjullin: Absolutely, we can start doing that with the minutes coming up.

Councilor Murray: I also think there is some confusion about the signs. I was a little confused about the wording that was put up by the PZA and I am hoping we can clarify that.

Randy Auto: If there are any issues, or specific issues of confusion, why don’t you email them to me and copy Laurie Stout and we can work together to address those issues.

F. CORRALEÑOS FORUM: This section is for information purposes only, not for discussion and debate, to inform the governing body of an issue or concern that would not be addressed on the agenda during the business session. There is a 3-minute time limit, unless approved by the Presiding Officer.

Joan Hashimoto: I just wanted to say that Chief Martinez gave the last weekend of August to get all of the doggie waste stations up. I just want to thank him for that. I really appreciate it and the commission does as well.

Linda Walsh: My name is Linda Walsh I live at 375 W Meadowlark Lane in Corrales. Thank you Mayor and Council for an opportunity to speak.

I am on the Farmland Preservation and Agriculture Commission and I am a resident of Corrales. I'd like to address the Council tonight as a citizen of Corrales.

When my husband and I moved here in 2014 we knew we were moving to a very special place – we just didn’t know how special. Corrales was a blend of two things we were both looking for: my husband wanted access to urban and I wanted access to rural. We each found what we were looking for in Corrales. And over time we each came to appreciate even more the unique place we had chosen. The world changes when we drive from the corner of Alameda and Corrales road to just past the Welcome sign. For one thing in the summer the temperature is 5 degrees cooler usually. We fell in love with the house we ended up buying especially because it backed up to a conservation easement for farming. We did not want a beautiful piece of property behind us to be developed.

I have walked, biked and ridden the miles of acequia and bosque trails and marveled at the natural beauty that surrounds us. This is what led me to work for the farmland commission. We would never have chosen a suburb to live in.

I recently had an opportunity to view again the Haslam property that the commission has proposed for the next conservation easement. It is a beautiful and significant tract of land and will add 12 acres to the northwest side of Corrales and greatly enhance what Corrales has been able to preserve. It can be viewed from Loma Larga and the Acequia Madre. It is a perfect example of what keeps Corrales unique, open and cool.

Thank you Council members who visited the property and who voted to proceed with including the Haslam property in the farmland conservation program.

Sincerely,
Linda Walsh

G. CONSENT AGENDA: All matters listed under the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine by the Village Council and will be enacted by one motion. There will not be separate discussion of these items. If discussion is desired, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately.

1. Approval of minutes for July 21th, 2020 Regular Council Meeting
2. Approval of minutes for August 18th, 2020 Regular Council Meeting

Motion: to approve the consent agenda, Action: Approve, Moved by Councilor Kevin Lucero, Seconded by Councilor William Woldman.
Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).
Yes: Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

H. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA:

I. NEW BUSINESS:


Motion: to approve the contract between Village of Corrales and Albuquerque Asphalt, Action: Approve, Moved by Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg, Seconded by Councilor Kevin Lucero.

Councilor Murray: I am a little confused are contract normally presented this way without a resolution? The format seemed odd to me.

Clerk Gjullin: This was an unusual situation to be in. As we put in the executive summary, DOT was giving us a little bit of runaround about being able to use an open ended contract. We now have approval, as of 2pm today, from DOT to use this contract. That is why we put it in front of you tonight in the hopes that we would get approval from DOT and then we would be able to oblige the money. As for the contract, it is not always a resolution that gets put in front of you, but rather the contract itself. You got some extra stuff with this one just to make sure that everyone understood what we were asking for tonight.

Councilor Murray: My question about the open ended contract, is this just limited to what is in our budget right now and the existing monies that we have allocated right now?

Clerk Gjullin: This would actually be using legislative funds from DOT. This project would be funded by the DOT. Part of the obligation process is that you have to have a contract in place to oblige the money. It will show up in the form of a BAR maybe at the next meeting when we are able to obligate it and use it.

Councilor Woldman: We are relying on an open contract through Los Lunas. I am wondering if in the future if another situation came up and that contract ended, how do we handle that?

Clerk Gjullin: The Village and several municipalities use open ended contracts all the time to fix price
agreements rather than having a contractor and each municipality create its own. This kind of open contract allows for easier communication between the contractor and whatever municipality is doing work. It just so happens that we are using Los Lunas School District for this one, but we used the City of Los Lunas earlier this year and other state agencies as an open contract. If this contract were not in place, there are several other open ended contracts that Albuquerque Asphalt has in place that we could have used.

**Councillor Dornburg:** I just want to say that I am grateful that this is what we are using this contract for. It would be nice to get that drainage question cleared up once and for all.

**Councillor Knight:** So was this not in the original engineering plan that this pipe would be connected and be used for the drainage?

**Councillor Dornburg:** The pipe was put in place but not connected because it was contingent upon the contractor finishing all of the discrepancies at the end of phase one. It was always in the plan but it was after the construction of the roadway and drainage.

**Lynn Siverts:** We were only given a certain scope of work that had a parameter about the distance. The pipe was going to go further than the allowable distance, so we were not able to include it. Since we are not dealing with the same project, this allows us to finish it.

**Councillor Knight:** Let me sort of review. So it was in the original plans but the company that did the initial phase one didn’t do it, so that’s why we are in court with them?

**Lynn Siverts:** No, we were only allowed to go halfway across Loma Larga with the pipe as per the scope of the work from the feds and the DOT. We were also only allowed to go to the right of way to the north and south as a boundary line for the work. This pipe we are going to finish this with goes quite a bit further north, so that’s why we were not able to do it in phase one. Steve Grollman has since engineered it to make this work.

**Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).

**Yes:** Councillor William Woldman, Councillor Kevin Lucero, Councillor Stuart Murray, Councillor Mel Knight, Councillor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

2. Consideration, Action and Approval of Resolution 20-026, a resolution for amending the budget to add additional special funds as a CARES Act recipient.

**Motion:** to approve resolution 20-026, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by** Councillor Stuart Murray, **Seconded by** Mayor David Dornburg.

**Mel Knight:** I had a question about the third whereas, the Village is the operator and distributor for the CARES Act for small business funds. How is it determined who is going to get the money?

**Clerk Gjulin:** As part of our submission to the CARES Act for the small business fund, we are required to have an application process and vetting process which will determine who gets the money and how much. There is a limit of $10,000 per applicant, but basically it will be determined by the Village.
Councillor Knight: How is it going to be advertised? Through the Corrales Comment? And how do the small business apply for this?

Clerk Gjullin: Once we get the funds is when we will put the word out to the community. We will have a lot on our website with access to all the information, how to apply and who can apply. There are certain things the money allows to be reimbursed and certain things it does not. Once someone applies, we will have a dialog with them to determine which items are able to be reimbursed and which are not. We will certainly put something in the Comment and we are still working with Sandoval County to see if we are going to do some sort of matching funds between the County and the Village.

Mayor Roake: We will use every available method to get the word out there. We will also utilize MainStreet of course and perhaps Sandoval County Economic Alliance.

Councillor Murray: Is this going to be a first come first serve kind of thing until the money is gone or what happens if we do not use all the money?

Clerk Gjullin: The process will be a bit different. Rio Rancho already has their money and so they are accepting applications until the 18th, and then they are going to do one big disbursement. With us, we think we can spend all the money, but it is $255,000 and there is a limit of $10,000. It will be pretty contingent on who applies and for how much.

Tanya Lattin: If we do not spend it all, it goes back to the government. This has a lot of rules around it. At $10,000, that is twenty-five and a half businesses. There is a hierarchy of who can get the money. If you already got PPP money or SBA money then it lowers your priority. We are hoping to have that grant application ready for review for various department heads this week. There are also reporting requirements where they have to tell us what was spent and on what at 90 days, 180 days and 365 days. It is their money though, so it can go towards rent, insurance, employees and more. You must have a business office within the Village to get the money.

Councillor Burkett: What kind of administrative burden does this put on the Village? Are we tasked with having to enforce this?

Tanya Lattin: I will get the information that was submitted to get this funding which explains a bit about that. We can send it to you. But it really falls on the business to be honest about it. That info comes in with their grant application. They cannot just ask for $10,000 without saying why.

Mayor Roake: I think what Councillor Burkett was asking was do they have to report to the Village? I would say that if we have twenty-five businesses apply that there will be some administrative time devoted to this.

Administrator Curry: I would add that the Village had the choice to let Sandoval County do it all or for us to get involved with it. The feeling was that we know our Village better than Sandoval County does, so that is why we stepped up to the plate. There will some additional administrative oversight that has to occur but we think it is well worth it rather than having to depend on another governmental entity.

Tanya Lattin: Sandoval County did a grant for their unincorporated areas as well, but were only going to do matching for small businesses.

Mayor Roake: I would also just like to thank everyone in the Village and particularly Commander
Lattin for working so hard to get this CARES Act application together. We did receive a nice award.

**Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).

**Yes:** Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

3. Consideration, Action and Approval of Resolution 20-027, a resolution for authorizing adjustments of various funds for fiscal year 2020-2021

**Motion:** to approve resolution 20-027, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by** Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg, **Seconded by** Councilor William Woldman.

**Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).

**Yes:** Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

4. Consideration, Action and Approval of updated Corrales Police Department policies regarding body cameras.

**Motion:** to approve the updated Corrales Police Department, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by** Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg, **Seconded by** Councilor Stuart Murray.

**Councilor Knight:** On page number one, where it says procedure, it says whenever a police person interacts with another person, which means the cameras will be utilized?

**Chief Mangiacapra:** For an official police function then yes it would be mandated. For any interaction such as walking into the convenience store to get a coffee will not be required, but is certainly allowed.

**Councilor Knight:** Then on page two, under general procedures, it says that the system can be activated automatically when the vehicles emergency lights are activated or manually by the officer with wireless transmitter or manually by the officer within the police vehicle. If it is activated automatically, then why are these other two even in there?

**Chief Mangiacapra:** Page two specifically refers to in-car video camera systems. We have retained that policy because that used to be our only means of videotaping police encounters. We may start using them again someday, but the changes that were made to this policy were specific to body worn cameras which start on page four. Essentially what is changed due to Senate Bill 8 taking effect in two weeks is the requirement to retain all recordings for a minimum of 120 days. Everything else was in place prior to the state mandate. We used to only keep recordings that were deemed to have evidentiary value. We simply did not have the storage capacity to do this, so we worked with our IT contractor to get that in place before the deadline.

**Councilor Knight:** On page number four, where it says body worn cameras, it says that the department will issue BWCs to the extent available. I thought they all had to wear those?

**Chief Mangiacapra:** That is correct, and in fact each officer currently has one issued to them. It was worded this way under the advice of previous counsel just to plan ahead in the case of having a bunch of them break or stop working and now we have officers on the street with none available. So, we are able to purchase replacements but we did not want to put ourselves in the position to be violating our own
policy.

**Councillor Knight:** You have extra though right?

**Chief Mangiacapra:** Yes we do.

**Councillor Knight:** One last question. It says on page number six that the BWC will not always be pointed in the same direction as the officer's line of sight.

**Chief Mangiacapra:** Situations are fluid and can develop rapidly. There may be a situation where an officer is facing an individual with whom they are speaking with directly, and their attention may be diverted away by a sound or movement. So they may be facing one way with their line of sight in another direction. We felt it important to identify the limitations. The batteries do die or malfunction and officer safety should come before activating a camera. We do not want them to cease an opportunity to defend themselves or a third party from imminent harm just to activate a camera. These shortcomings needed to be part of the policy so that they can be noted.

**Councillor Woldman:** I want to thank Chief for the forward thinking before the deadline of the state mandate. It shows a commitment to the community that I really appreciate. I may have missed it but is there a penalty for an officer who does not use it correctly?

**Chief Mangiacapra:** There is a penalty that is addressed in our disciplinary policies. As far as it is mentioned within this policy I would have to refresh my memory.

**Councillor Woldman:** If this is one of your policy and procedures, and even though it is not stated in this one particularly, I would assume that the overall enforcement of policies and procedures would cover this?

**Chief Mangiacapra:** Yes it would. It goes without saying.

**Councillor Lucero:** I think I saw something about that under supervisor responsibilities.

**Councillor Woldman:** My concern comes from what we have seen in other communities when a dispute comes up and I want to make sure that we were covered on that.

**Chief Mangiacapra:** Yes absolutely and thank you Councilor Lucero, it does mention in paragraph 35 and 36 on page six that officers are subject to penalties.

**Councillor Burkett:** Point 11 states that whenever possible that officers should inform the individual that they are being recorded. Are there any ramifications for not doing that, or is it in there as a courtesy?

**Chief Mangiacapra:** It is simply a courtesy. They are not obligated to inform individuals that they are being recorded, but we do encourage it. We have found in the four years we have been using body worn cameras that citizen complaints or confrontational arguments have decreased. Essentially most people tend to behave a bit better when they know they are being recorded. The cameras can be programed to have a red LED come one when we are recording so people know.

**Councillor Lucero:** Referencing the retention schedule being at 120 days. Is that a big leap from what
we are doing now? If you could speak to what we are having to do and that could be budgetary or
otherwise.

Chief Mangiacapra: There is going to be a financial impact as well as an administrative impact. The
main difference is that we are having to retain the information for 120 days even if it does not appear to
have any value as evidence. We are looking at an initial fee to set up a virtual server to house all of these
recordings as well as an increase to our existing monthly IT cost. We are going to have it setup to do an
auto purge after 120 days so the Sergeants and Lieutenant do not have to continuously go back and
delete those files that are no longer needed.

Administrator Curry: This is another situation where we are addressing technology. We might talk
about it again with ICIP, but the idea is that technology is important to many functions within the
Village and affect even the safety of our residents. We will do whatever it takes to give them backup for
this technology upgrade.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).

Yes: Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel
Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

5. Consideration, Action and Approval of Resolution 20-028, a resolution for adopting 2022-2026
Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plan (ICIP).

Motion: to approve resolution 20-028, Action: Approve, Moved by Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg,
Seconded by Councilor Mel Knight.

Councillor Murray: One thing I do not see on this list is how many of these projects are shovel ready?

Clerk Gjullin: We didn’t really separate it out that way. I am happy to give you that information. We
do have some of these items that are ready to go, such as the Animal Services vehicle and equipment,
the Thompson Fence Line and Fire Chief’s fire suppression line. Some of these other ones are a bit more
of a pipe dream. Once we get this approved and submitted, I can send the full detailed information for
each item.

Councillor Murray: My concern is that I was hoping to see the Fire Suppression and the Police at the
top. That’s where I see the priorities going as well. My other question for you Aaron was number 22, the
ADA transition for FHWA, are we setting ourselves up for a lawsuit because we are putting this as a
low priority?

Clerk Gjullin: My understanding about several of those lower items such as the energy saving
measures and traffic signage are required to be on the list to have access to certain federal and state
funds. We are always looking to make ourselves compliant with the ADA, but not required as it pertains
to the FHWA. So I do not believe we would be opening ourselves up to a lawsuit, and Randy feel free to
jump in. Just because we have it low on the list does not mean that we are trying to execute on those
items.

Randy Autie: That was a pretty good summary. Specifically with the ADA there is always an
understanding that you will constantly taking actions when funding is available. It’s almost one of those
lists that is never ending to improve your ADA compliance within your community. So it is built into
the law that you need to take actions as expeditiously as possible. This planning step is not one of the
things that is required to be done immediately.

Councilor Murray: One other question I had was that I thought that the Meadowlark Phase II was already funded.

Mayor Roake: No it is not.

Councilor Murray: Then why are we not moving it higher on the list?

Mayor Roake: I think at this point we would be needing to look for additional funds for that.

Councilor Dornburg: I think what we have left with Meadowlark, we have the pipe to connect, the curbing and the landscaping and then the path on the south side. That breaks out into three different parts to get to completion on this. Back in the day when the whole thing was constructed under one big plan we actually had all the money in place for matching funds with the exception of not being able to get ADA compliance with the pathway. That made us have to split up the funding. That’s why we are asking for it on this list.

Councilor Murray: I just think it kind of sucks that we didn’t have it higher on the list but I will go with Councilor Dornburg’s explanation.

Councilor Dornburg: I also want to reemphasize that the rank order really does not matter. We need to have our ducks in a row, but we also can continue our discussion about the found money and see how that applies to something like this.

Mayor Roake: The last thing I want to say is that I did speak with the director of the Municipal League about what our chances were of getting any money for capital improvement projects and he said zero chance. So that doesn’t mean we won’t be ready or that we won’t keep resorting this list, but they are going to be looking for $1 Billion when the legislature opens.

Councilor Burkett: In a previous year’s ICIP the performing arts center was at $300,000 and now it is at $1 Million. What were the steps that led it from being $300,000 to almost going away, and now being $1 Million?

Clerk Gjullin: Originally that was part of a plan to utilize parts of recreation facilities. The $300,000 was really geared towards use of the Jones House or the Old Fire House. In subsequent discussions with the arts folks, they would prefer to utilize a new space, so that accounts for the increase. Now, the $1 Million is a bit arbitrary. That’s a really rough guess on what a new building would cost to go through engineering, design, construction and furnishing. It is always subject to change though.

Councilor Burkett: I think Parks and Rec are still under the impression that they were looking to have a performance space in the new recreation center from the bond money. That is certainly still on there as of last month. It seems like it would be a much more efficient use of funds to add something to a structure we were already planning on building for remarkable less.

Clerk Gjullin: You are correct, but we really do not expect to get $1 Million for it this year. We can always reevaluate it next year and change the dollar amount once we have a better plan to utilize it.

Mayor Roake: I would also like to add that last year, Commissioner Jay Block gave money to us to
explore the possibilities of a performing arts center. So we will have that money this year to see if that
group would like to be part of a larger multi-use facility. I agree it would also be a lot less expensive
One of the last times I met with them, they preferred to be part of larger functional building because
they did not want to try and sustain it on their own. It is definitely in flux and we will have to work with
them on a plan.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).
Yes: Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel
Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

6. Consideration, Action and Approval of Ordinance 20-005, an ordinance amending Ordinance 19-
006 regarding short-term rentals, to clarify parking requirements and occupancy. Appeal process
and penalties are further refined.

Motion: to approve Ordinance 20-005, Action: Approve, Moved by Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg,
Seconded by Councilor Mel Knight.

Councilor Murray: It just came to my attention, why are we codifying an existing ordinance when it
should be on Chapter 18 where this language is usually contained?

Laurie Stout: I think it does, it still modifies the pertinent sections of Chapter 18.

Councilor Murray: I just didn’t understand why we were modifying an ordinance from a year ago
rather than the chapter itself.

Randy Autio: I just want to clarify the normal process is that we still adopt through ordinances and they
get an ordinance number. The amendment is actually amending the last ordinance number and then
because they are codified in that section, then that section gets amended.

Councilor Murray: That seems like a daisy chain way of doing things and I thought it was a little
strange.

Randy Autio: It is.

Councilor Burkett: I just wanted to state that I thought it was a little disappointing that we did not
change the language about the total number of occupants. We had talked about it extensively and
thought it would be better to say adults because it was prohibitive to families.

Randy Autio: We did work on that change but because we did not want to have to republish the
ordinance we wanted to make it a floor amendment. What we did is we did a survey of ordinances
around New Mexico that deal with occupancy on their short term rentals. The one that seemed the best
to me was to not include children over 12. So what I drafted, and what any of you can sponsor as a floor
amendment which is an additional sentence that applies to Section 5 (g)(2)(a) is: Children twelve (12)
and under staying with a parent or guardian are not covered by the occupancy limit if the size of the
room accommodates it and it is allowed by the owner or operator of the dwelling unit.

Councilor Burkett: I like that. I will move to adopt the amendment.
Motion: to approve the proposed floor amendment, Action: Approve, Moved by Councilor Zachary Burkett, Seconded by Councilor Stuart Murray.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).

Yes: Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

Motion: to approve Ordinance 20-005, Action: Approve, Moved by Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg, Seconded by Councilor Mel Knight.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).

Yes: Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

J. ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

K. CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION: The Village Council may go into Closed Executive Session pursuant to the provision of the New Mexico Open Meetings Act adopted by the Village Council, Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Sec. 10-15-1 H (7), the Village Council will convene in Executive Session in a meeting subject to the attorney-client privilege pertaining to threatened or pending litigation in which the public body is or may become a participant; specifically Daniel Parsons v. Village of Corrales.

Motion: to go into Closed Executive Session, Action: Approve, Moved by Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg, Seconded by Councilor Stuart Murray.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).

Yes: Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

Motion: to go into Open Session, Action: Approve, Moved by Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg, Seconded by Councilor Stuart Murray.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Yes = 6).

Yes: Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

Mayor Roake: The matters in the closed session were limited to the matters specified in the motion for closure. The governing body did not make any decisions within the closed meeting.

L. ADJOURNMENT

Motion: to adjourn, Action: Approve, Moved by Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg, Seconded by Councilor Stuart Murray.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous vote (summary: Yes = 6).

Yes: Councilor William Woldman, Councilor Kevin Lucero, Councilor Stuart Murray, Councilor Mel Knight, Councilor Zachary Burkett, Mayor Pro Tem David Dornburg

A COPY OF THE AGENDA MAY BE OBTAINED ON THE WEBSITE: www.corrales-nm.org click on Government & Council Meetings

If you are an individual with a disability who is in need of a reader, amplifier, qualified sign language interpreter, or any other form of auxiliary aid or service to attend or participate in the hearing or meeting, please contact the Village Clerk at the Village Offices located at 4324 Corrales Road, at least five (5) days prior to the meeting or as soon as possible.

Public documents, including the agenda and minutes, can be provided in various accessible formats.

Please contact the Village Clerk at 897-0502, or by e-mail at villageclerk@corrales.nm.org if a summary or other type of accessible format is needed.
NEXT REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING: September 22nd, 2020 at 6:30pm

I certify that notice of the Public Meeting has been given in compliance with the Open Meetings Act, Section 10-15-1 through 10-15-4 NMSA 1978 and the Open Meetings Resolution 19-041.

I certify that this agenda was posted on: September 4th, 2020.

Aaron Gjullin, Village Clerk